

VZCZCXYZ0000  
PP RUEHWEB

DE RUEHRL #0896 1901544  
ZNY CCCCC ZZH  
P 081544Z JUL 08  
FM AMEMBASSY BERLIN  
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1611  
INFO RUCNFRG/FRG COLLECTIVE  
RUEHBY/AMEMBASSY CANBERRA 0713  
RUEHLO/AMEMBASSY LONDON 9098  
RUEHFR/AMEMBASSY PARIS 9664  
RUEHKO/AMEMBASSY TOKYO 1578

C O N F I D E N T I A L BERLIN 000896

SIPDIS, STATE FOR ISN/MTR, EUR/AGS AND EUR/PRA

E.O. 12958: DECL: 07/08/2033  
TAGS: [MTCRE](#) [ETTC](#) [KSCA](#) [AU](#) [FR](#) [JA](#) [UK](#) [GM](#)  
SUBJECT: (C) GERMANY AND U.S. IN SYNCH WITH MTCR SMALL  
GROUP OUTREACH

REF: STATE 67437

Classified By: Global Affairs Unit Chief Don L. Brown for  
reasons 1.4 (b) and (d).

11. (U) THIS IS AN ACTION REQUEST. PLEASE SEE PARA 5.

12. (C) On June 26, Global Affairs officer delivered reftel demarche to German MFA Export Control Division Desk Officer Nancy Reck. Reck said Germany is putting the finishing touches on a Small Group draft proposal focused on outreach to non-MTCR partner countries and expanding the scope to include all regimes (NSG, MTCR, Wassenaar, and Australia Group).

13. (C) In terms of outreach, Reck said the German proposal is similar to the U.S. proposal described in reftel. In light of this coincidence, Reck underscored the need for close coordination on the U.S. and German proposals. Reck suggested that Germany host the meeting for representatives of all interested non-Partner countries, mentioned in reftel, following the 2009 MTCR Reinforced Point of Contact (RPOC) in Paris.

14. (C) Although Germany and the U.S. appear to be on the same page in terms of a desire for outreach, Reck solicited more information regarding the U.S. philosophy behind its MTCR outreach objectives. Specifically, Reck asked about U.S. views on the purpose of such meetings and how large the intended non-MTCR partner country audience should be. Reck stated it would be very difficult to bring all reftel-proposed countries to the table at one time and instead suggested the scope of non-MTCR partner countries be narrowed to only include non-MTCR partner countries possessing significant missile technology. Reck cautioned the MTCR was never intended to be a universal regime and care should be taken to not mix outreach with recruitment. In addition, Reck asked whether future outreach to non-MTCR partner countries should be handled in a traditional MTCR bilateral way or multilaterally.

15. (SBU) ACTION REQUEST: Post requests Department guidance in responding to the questions posed in paragraph 4.  
TIMKEN JR